

The Moral and Material Mandate for Reform: Institutional Integrity, Regional Equity, and the Path to Strategic Optimism

The current governance architecture of the United Kingdom is characterized by a profound misalignment between the needs of the citizenry and the mechanisms of state. This condition, frequently termed governance malpractice, has precipitated a simultaneous erosion of institutional trust and economic resilience. Evidence suggests that the stability of the social contract is no longer a given but a variable contingent upon radical structural reform. The "Silent Majority," a demographic defined by its exposure to economic precarity and public service failure, now views the Westminster model through a lens of profound cynicism, perceiving the system as a mechanism designed to favor the few at the expense of the many.¹ To move toward a state of strategic optimism, it is necessary to first diagnose the "smoking gun" data points that link systemic failure to individual psychological distress, and then to identify the international and local precedents that demonstrate the inevitability of a successful localist alternative.

The Architecture of Influence: The Revolving Door and Ethical Erosion

The integrity of the British state is fundamentally undermined by the normalization of the "revolving door," a process whereby the boundary between public service and private profit becomes increasingly permeable. This phenomenon is not merely an optical challenge for the government; it is a material threat to the objectivity of policymaking. Data regarding the movement of senior officials suggests that policy knowledge is frequently treated as a sunset asset to be monetized upon exit from office.³

Post-Office Ministerial Metrics and the Commodification of Expertise

The scale of the transition from government to corporate boardrooms is quantified by the sheer volume of appointments sought by departing officials. Between January 2017 and June 2022, a total of 217 high-ranking decision-makers—comprising ministers, special advisers, and senior civil servants—sought advice from the Advisory Committee on Business Appointments (ACOBA) regarding 604 separate external roles.³ Analysis indicates that approximately 25% of these roles (151 of 604) carried advisory or consultancy titles, which are often used as euphemisms for providing privileged access to government networks.³

The concentration of these roles in sectors previously overseen by the individual is a primary indicator of ethical erosion. Research finds that 30% of former ministers and officials taking jobs after holding senior office were hired into sectors related to their government policy briefs.⁴ This trend is most prevalent among those who held office in the departments of defense and education, where the complexity of procurement and the scale of private sector involvement create high demand for former insiders.⁴ Specific cases illustrate this trend: former Cabinet Office minister Francis Maude declared nine external roles within a single year, while former energy secretary Ed Davey declared eight separate commissions through his independent consultancy focused on energy and climate change.⁵

Metric of Post-Government Employment	Statistical Finding	Source Reference
Officials seeking ACOBA advice (Jan 2017–June 2022)	217	3
Total roles sought by these 217 officials	604	3
Percentage of roles linked to former policy briefs	30%	4
Roles with "Adviser" or "Consultant" titles	25%	3
Officials taking roles in consultant lobbying firms	10%	3
Cabinet ministers advised on roles (Year ending Mar 2017)	52	5

The regulatory environment for these transitions is currently in a state of flux. ACOBA, the primary oversight body, ceased operations in late 2025, with its functions transferred to the Independent Adviser on Ministerial Standards.⁶ This shift follows years of criticism regarding the committee's inability to enforce its advice, with Chair Lord Pickles repeatedly calling for urgent reform to restore public trust.⁷ The systemic weakness is highlighted by the fact that Transparency International identified over 170 former ministers and officials taking jobs linked

to their old policy briefs since 2017, raising persistent questions about conflicts of interest in the heart of Westminster.⁴

The Material Cost of Cronyism: Pandemic Procurement and the VIP Lane

The perception of "Westminster graft" is not an abstract sentiment but a reaction to the documented scale of procurement malpractice during the COVID-19 pandemic. The establishment of the "VIP lane" for Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) represents a watershed moment in the degradation of public procurement standards. Under this scheme, companies recommended by ministers and MPs were ten times more likely to secure contracts than those processed through standard channels.⁸ The High Court subsequently ruled the VIP lane unlawful, citing a breach of the duty of equal treatment.⁸

The financial implications of these decisions are staggering. Transparency International identified 135 high-risk contracts worth **£15.3** billion—nearly one-third of all pandemic procurement—that exhibited three or more "corruption red flags".⁹ These flags included political connections, a lack of competitive bidding, and the awarding of contracts to companies with no prior experience or those that were less than 100 days old.¹⁰

Pandemic Procurement Waste and Risk Metrics	Financial Value	Source Reference
High-risk contracts (3+ corruption red flags)	£15.3 billion	9
Contracts awarded without competitive bidding	£30.1 billion	10
Contracts to suppliers with political connections	£4.1 billion	9
Contracts via the unlawful 'VIP lane'	£4.6 billion	10
Total DHSC write-off (PPE, tests, vaccines)	£14.9 billion	9

Unusable PPE supplied via the VIP lane	£1.6 billion	9
Inflated prices for VIP lane PPE (avg. 80% higher)	£925 million	11
Estimated unrecoverable fraud and error loss	~90% of £10.8 bn	8

The scale of this waste—£15.3 billion—is equivalent to the entire annual budget of the Home Office.⁹ This represents a direct transfer of public wealth to private interests under the guise of an emergency. The Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) has since reduced the estimated value of its pandemic inventory by £14.9 billion, reflecting the purchase of goods that were unusable or grossly overpriced.¹¹ Specifically, £1 billion worth of PPE purchased through the VIP lane was deemed unfit for purpose, while internal government documents suggest that prices in this lane were inflated by at least £925 million, on average 80% higher than prices from other suppliers.¹¹ The long-term impact is a catastrophic loss to the public purse, with 90% of the £10.8 billion lost to fraud, error, and botched contracts deemed unrecoverable.⁸ This contrast between "Westminster graft" and the "Yorkshire grit" expected of local taxpayers provides a potent mandate for fiscal accountability.

The Psychology of Governance Malpractice

The failure of systemic governance is not merely a fiscal issue; it is a public health crisis. The state of the UK as of Winter 2025 is one of persistent economic hardship that has fundamentally altered the psychological landscape of the "Silent Majority." When public services fail to provide basic stability, the resulting economic precarity translates directly into mental health distress.

The Stress Metric: Quantifying the Toll of Precarity

Research from the Joseph Rowntree Foundation (JRF) and Mind reveals a society under immense psychological strain. In late 2025, 7.1 million households—equivalent to one in four of all UK households—remained unable to afford essentials such as food, heating, and basic toiletries.¹² This level of hardship has persisted for four consecutive years, suggesting that "economic precarity" has become a structural feature of the British economy.¹²

The link between poverty and mental health is recursive. Financial hardship brings stress, shame, and trauma that trigger mental health problems, while these conditions in turn make it

harder to work or navigate the complex benefits system.¹⁴ In the UK's most deprived areas, individuals are twice as likely to develop depression as those in affluent areas.¹⁴ For children, the impact is even more severe: those from the poorest families are four times more likely to have serious mental health problems by age 11 than their wealthier peers.¹⁴

Poverty and Mental Health Statistics (2025)	Metric	Source Reference
Households unable to afford essentials	7.1 million (25%)	12
Low-income families skipping meals (last 30 days)	46%	13
Low-income families with 3+ kids skipping meals	69%	13
People reporting mental health impact of cost-of-living	Nearly 50%	15
People experiencing stress, anxiety, or depression	>20%	15
Increase in Mind Infoline calls (money/unemployment)	10% (Winter 22/23)	15
Total number of UK citizens living in poverty	14.3 million	14

Public service failure in specific sectors, such as transport, acts as a primary catalyst for this stress. In the North of England, 21.3% of the population (3.3 million people) live in areas with a high risk of Transport-Related Social Exclusion (TRSE), compared to 16% in the rest of the country.¹⁶ TRSE is characterized by the inability to access jobs and healthcare due to unreliable and expensive public transport.¹⁶ The "stress and anxiety linked with using the transport system" is identified as a major barrier to well-being.¹⁶ For those in insecure or gig-economy work, a single cancelled bus can lead to "losing work entirely" or sacrificing a day's earnings to

pay for a taxi.¹⁶ This creates a "vicious cycle" where the unreliability of infrastructure reinforces poverty and social isolation.¹⁶

Cynicism as a Rationed Response: The Perception of Unfairness

The psychological fallout of governance malpractice is manifest in the widespread belief that the British system is fundamentally rigged. This is not a fringe view but a dominant public sentiment. Data from the British Social Attitudes Survey and other major polling institutions shows that the majority of citizens no longer believe the system operates for the common good.

Public Attitudes Toward Inequality and Fairness	Agreement Percentage	Source Reference
System is rigged to serve the rich and influential	67%–70%	1
Inequality is a serious problem in the UK	73%	1
One law for the rich and one for the poor	~75%	1
Ordinary working people get a fair share of wealth	13%	1
Country is in a state of decline	57%	18
Society is "broken"	56%	18
Want a strong leader willing to "break the rules"	47%	18

This "perception of unfairness" is a rationed response to the material reality of wealth inequality. While the richest 10% own approximately half of the UK's wealth, the absolute gap between the richest and poorest households has dramatically increased due to asset price inflation.² The public holds a "security mindset," viewing wealth primarily as a means to achieve health and well-being, particularly through homeownership.¹⁹ When this security is denied to the majority, trust in the meritocratic narrative—the idea that hard work leads to wealth—evaporates.

Research indicates that exposing people to information about how wealth leads to "Unfair Influence" in politics further lowers trust in politicians and reduces satisfaction with democracy.¹⁹ The fact that nearly half of the population (47%) now expresses an attraction to "illiberal" forms of democracy—specifically a leader who will break rules—signals the dangerous potential for this cynicism to be co-opted by authoritarian narratives.¹⁸

The "Northern Drain" and the Price of Centralization

The UK is the most regionally unbalanced large advanced economy in the world.²⁰ The "Northern Drain" refers to the systematic extraction of human capital and economic potential from the North of England to London and the South East. This imbalance is not an accident of geography but a product of highly centralized fiscal and political power.

Quantifying the Brain Drain: Migration and Disinvestment

The "Managed Colony" theme is perfectly illustrated by the net migration of skilled graduates. Data on geographical mobility shows that higher education is a primary driver of internal migration, with 36% of graduates living in a different area within a decade of their GCSEs.²¹ This mobility is predominantly directed toward a small number of "magnet cities," primarily London and Manchester.²¹ Conversely, coastal and ex-mining towns in the North face a "brain drain" as their qualified labor force seeks opportunities in the South East.²¹

This migration is driven by a stark disparity in investment. If the North had received the same per-person transport investment as London over the decade to 2022/23, it would have received **£140** billion more.²² This transport gap is mirrored in cultural funding: London receives **£57** per person in Arts Council funding, compared to just **£28** in the North.²³ The result is a "culture chasm" that stifles local creativity and reinforces regional inequality.²³

Regional Investment and Outcome Disparities	London	The North (Average)	Source Reference
Annual Transport Investment (per person)	£1,180	£480	22
Arts Council Funding (per person)	£57	£28	23

person)			
Risk of Transport-Related Social Exclusion	16% (South/Mid)	21.3%	16
Primary schools without a library	6% (SE)	18% (NE)	23
Locally controlled spend (% of total)	Projected high	Projected 13% by 2030	20

The economic cost of this centralization is a "smaller and less productive UK economy".²⁰ Locally controlled public spending in the North is projected to fall to as low as 13% of total public spending by 2030, further cementing the region's status as a fiscal dependent.²⁰ This concentration of power in Westminster overlooks the economic contributions of millions, leading to "shorter, sicker, less fulfilling lives" for those in Northern communities.²⁰

Global Localism: International Paradigms of Success

The UK's centralization is an anomaly when compared to peer nations such as Germany and Switzerland. These countries utilize a federal or highly devolved model that grants significant fiscal autonomy to subnational regions.

Fiscal Autonomy and R&D Investment	UK (Unitary)	Germany (Länder)	Switzerland (Cantons)	Source Reference
Central Government Share of Revenue	64.8%	~53% (Federal avg)	~53% (Federal avg)	24
Local/Subnational Share of Tax	~5%	17.6% (State avg)	16.0% (Local)	24
R&D	2.77%	3.13%	3.31%	25

Expenditure as % of GDP				
Absolute R&D Spending (USD PPP)	£102.6bn	£174.4bn	Swiss R&D 16% > UK	²⁷

In Germany, the *Länder* have substantial powers over taxation and spending, contributing to a more balanced national economy. In Switzerland, the *Cantons* and local governments receive approximately 16% of total tax revenues, allowing for highly localized investment strategies.²⁴ This fiscal autonomy correlates with higher R&D intensity. Germany's R&D expenditure (3.13% of GDP) and Switzerland's (3.31% of GDP) consistently outperform the UK (2.77%), driving innovation and regional prosperity.²⁵ These international peers show that radical devolution is not only possible but is a prerequisite for a high-innovation, high-wage economy.

The "Common Sense" Mandate: Precedents for Strategic Optimism

Strategic optimism is not a blind hope but a calculated expectation based on proven models of reform. Successful localism and democratic innovation provide the roadmap for moving beyond the current crisis of governance.

The Preston Model: Reclaiming Local Wealth

The "Preston Model" of Community Wealth Building (CWB) offers a definitive example of how localism can reverse economic decline. By harnessing the "anchor institutions" of the city—councils, universities, and hospitals—Preston has redirected millions of pounds back into the local economy.²⁹

The results are statistically significant. Local procurement from businesses in the Lancashire region increased from 39% to 79.2% between 2012 and 2017, representing an additional £200 million in local investment.²⁹ This shift acted as a powerful economic lever, with Preston emerging as a "top improver" in city growth metrics.²⁹

Preston Model Economic and Social Impacts	Metric	Source Reference
Increase in local procurement (Lancashire)	39% to 79.2%	²⁹

Increase in local procurement (Preston city)	5% to 18%	31
Employment rate increase (post-CWB)	4% (95% CI 2.4–5.7)	30
Retained local spend (Lancashire)	+ £200 million	29
Households skipping meals (Preston vs avg)	Improvements in well-being	30
Housing completions (2022/23)	1,405 (Highest on record)	32

The social value of this model is profound. The introduction of CWB was associated with a 4% increase in the employment rate, with the greatest gains seen among disadvantaged groups, including people with disabilities and minority ethnic groups.³⁰ Furthermore, studies have found improvements in mental health and life satisfaction that directly correlate with the introduction of CWB.³¹ This model is now being replicated in other regions, such as Lewes District, which has doubled its local procurement spend and ensures that 95% of its staff live within the region.³¹ In York and North Yorkshire, a new Mayoral Commission is investigating the creation of a public bus company and a regional bank, learning from the Preston model to keep wealth within the community.³³

Democratic Innovation: Citizens' Assemblies and PR

The restoration of public trust requires a fundamental shift in how political decisions are made. The Irish Citizens' Assemblies and the global evidence for Proportional Representation (PR) demonstrate how to rouse the "Silent Majority" and break political deadlocks.

The Irish model has been uniquely successful in producing major political outcomes through deliberative democracy. A group of 99 randomly selected citizens, broadly representative of Irish society, was tasked with deliberating on highly polarising issues such as abortion reform and marriage equality.³⁴ The assembly process allowed for an "informed and mature debate" that broke years of political deadlock.³⁴

Impact of the Irish Citizens' Assembly (ICA)	Statistical Outcome	Source Reference
--	---------------------	------------------

Agreement that abortion laws were "unfit"	87% of members	35
ICA recommendation for repeal and replace	57% of members	35
Referendum result (matched ICA consensus)	66% (Yes) vs 64% (ICA)	34
Public submissions received on topics	~15,000	34
Consecutive successful CAs in Ireland	4	36

The success of the ICA was rooted in its ability to "complement and enhance representative democracy".³⁵ It provided politicians with a public mandate for action on long-term challenges, increasing trust in government and the willingness of non-participants to engage in politics.³⁷ This model shows that when citizens are given the tools for deliberative engagement, they can find common ground on issues that traditional political parties find impossible to resolve.

Complementing this is the evidence for Proportional Representation (PR). Countries using PR consistently report higher voter turnout than those using First-Past-the-Post (FPTP).

Voter Turnout Comparison: PR vs. FPTP	Average Turnout	Source Reference
Sweden (PR)	85.73%	38
Denmark (PR)	84.88%	38
Indonesia (PR)	79.93%	38
New Zealand (PR)	79.13%	38
Germany (PR)	76.36%	38

UK (FPTP)	65.47%	38
USA (FPTP)	61.95%	38
Canada (FPTP)	66.06%	38

Research indicates that adopting PR raises voter turnout by up to 12% to 14%.³⁸ PR incentivizes parties to engage with a wider array of voters, as every vote counts toward the final seat tally, eliminating the "safe seat" problem inherent in FPTP.³⁸ In New Zealand, the switch to PR led to a 9% increase in the number of voters who felt their participation directly impacted outcomes.³⁸ This "Common Sense" mandate for PR demonstrates that institutional fairness is the most effective way to re-engage the "Silent Majority."

Conclusions and the Path Forward

The evidence collected in this report establishes a "smoking gun" connection between systemic governance failure and the psychological and economic erosion of the British public. The "Revolving Door" and the "VIP lane" procurement scandals are not isolated incidents but symptoms of a state that has been captured by vested interests, costing the public purse billions of pounds and undermining the foundational principles of meritocracy.³ This ethical erosion has a direct psychological cost, manifest in a society where 7.1 million households struggle for essentials and 70% of the population believes the system is rigged.¹

The "Northern Drain" is the structural manifestation of this failure, as centralized power extracts resources and talent from regions that are systematically denied the fiscal autonomy granted to their international peers in Germany and Switzerland.²⁰ However, the success of the Preston Model and the transformative impact of the Irish Citizens' Assemblies provide proof that an alternative is possible.²⁹ Strategic optimism is made inevitable by the data: localism works, deliberative democracy breaks deadlocks, and proportional representation rouses the silent. The mandate for reform is both moral—to alleviate the mental health cost of precarity—and material—to build an economy where wealth is created and retained by the many, not the few. The path forward requires a radical shift toward fiscal autonomy and democratic integrity, restoring the agency of the "Silent Majority" and ensuring that the British state once again serves the common good.

Works cited

1. Chapter 8 The Haves and Have-nots - Britain's Choice, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.britainschoice.uk/media/0wpb3rgq/britain-s-choice-chapter-8.pdf>
2. Wealth Gap Risk Register - Fairness Foundation, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://fairnessfoundation.com/risks-2024>

3. Managing Revolving Door Risks in Westminster - Transparency International UK, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.transparency.org.uk/sites/default/files/2024-12/Managing%20Revolving%20Door%20Risks%20in%20Westminster%20-%20Transparency%20International%20UK.pdf>
4. Over 170 ex-ministers and officials take jobs linked to old policy briefs since 2017 | Politics, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2023/mar/24/over-170-ex-ministers-official-jobs-old-policy-briefs-2017>
5. More ex-ministers take private sector jobs amid 'revolving door' claims - The Guardian, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2017/jul/20/more-ex-ministers-take-private-sector-jobs-amid-revolving-door-claims>
6. Advisory Committee on Business Appointments - GOV.UK, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/advisory-committee-on-business-appointments>
7. Advisory Committee on Business Appointments – Report 2020-24 - 'The time to restore trust is now' - GOV.UK, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/advisory-committee-on-business-appointments-report-2020-24-the-time-to-restore-trust-is-now>
8. Release: A Missed Opportunity: Notorious VIP Lane Unaddressed in Landmark Report on COVID Fraud - UK Anti-Corruption Coalition, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.ukanticorruptioncoalition.org/work/release-a-missed-opportunity-notorious-vip-lane-unaddressed-in-landmark-report-on-covid-fraud>
9. New research raises corruption questions over billions in COVID public spending, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.transparency.org.uk/new-research-raises-corruption-questions-over-billions-covid-public-spending>
10. REPORT: Landmark investigation finds corruption red flags in £15.3 billion of UK COVID contracts, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.transparency.org.uk/news/report-landmark-investigation-finds-corruption-red-flags-ps153-billion-uk-covid-contracts>
11. CORRUPTION RED FLAGS IN COVID-19 PUBLIC PROCUREMENT, accessed February 22, 2026, https://www.transparency.org.uk/sites/default/files/2024-11/Behind%20the%20Masks%20Report%20Final_0.pdf
12. No let-up for millions of families in hardship: JRF's cost of living tracker, winter 2025, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.jrf.org.uk/cost-of-living/jrfs-cost-of-living-tracker-winter-2025>
13. Put cost of living at heart of Budget for growth and fairness | Joseph Rowntree Foundation, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.jrf.org.uk/cost-of-living/put-cost-of-living-at-heart-of-budget-for-growth-and-fairness>

14. POVERTY OF AMBITION - Mental Health Foundation, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.mentalhealth.org.uk/sites/default/files/2025-06/MHF%20Poverty%20and%20Health%20Report%20-%20FINAL.pdf>
15. Cost of living crisis and mental health | Mind, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.mind.org.uk/news-campaigns/campaigns/benefits/cost-of-living-crisis/>
16. Transport-related-social-exclusion-in-the-North-of-England.pdf, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://transportforthenorth.com/wp-content/uploads/Transport-related-social-exclusion-in-the-North-of-England.pdf>
17. The Green Party Needs New Leaders – And Fast | Joe Todd | Novara Media, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://novaramedia.com/2025/03/11/the-green-party-needs-new-leaders-and-fast/>
18. Ipsos Populism Report 2025, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.ipsos.com/sites/default/files/ct/news/documents/2025-06/ipsos-populism-report-2025.pdf>
19. Talking about wealth inequality | Joseph Rowntree Foundation, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.jrf.org.uk/narrative-change/talking-about-wealth-inequality>
20. State of the North 2024, accessed February 22, 2026, https://ippr-org.files.svdcdn.com/production/Downloads/State_of_the_north_2024_Mar24_2024-02-22-154025_rrfw.pdf
21. Geographical mobility of young people across English towns and cities: March 2024 - Office for National Statistics, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/educationandchildcare/articles/geographicalmobilityofyoungpeopleacrossenglishtownsandcities/march2024>
22. If the North had seen the same transport investment as London under the previous government, it would have received £140bn more | IPPR, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.ippr.org/media-office/ippr-north-and-ippr-reveal-if-the-north-had-seen-the-same-transport-investment-as-london-under-the-previous-government-it-would-have-received-140bn-more>
23. State of the North: the £450 million culture chasm fuelling regional inequality | IPPR, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.ippr.org/media-office/state-of-the-north-the-450-million-culture-chasm-fuelling-regional-inequality>
24. Revenue Statistics 2025 | OECD, accessed February 22, 2026, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/revenue-statistics-2025_3a264267-en.html
25. R&D expenditure - Statistics Explained - Eurostat - European Commission, accessed February 22, 2026, https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=R%26D_expenditure
26. Research and development expenditure (% of GDP) - World Bank Open Data,

- accessed February 22, 2026,
<https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/GB.XPD.RSDV.GD.ZS>
27. Investment in Innovation - Cambridge Industrial Innovation Policy, accessed February 22, 2026,
<https://www.ciip.group.cam.ac.uk/innovation/investment-in-innovation-2025/>
 28. Global R&D and International Comparisons - National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics, accessed February 22, 2026,
<https://nces.nsf.gov/pubs/nsb20257/global-r-d-and-international-comparisons-2>
 29. The Preston Model: Driving wealth generation, community investment and national policy, accessed February 22, 2026,
<https://www.lancashire.ac.uk/articles/research/preston-model-community-wealth-building>
 30. Understanding the differential effects on employment of a community wealth building programme in England: a difference-in-differences study, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://jech.bmj.com/content/79/9/658>
 31. Full article: Community Wealth Building as a catalyst for just transitions? The role of anchor institutions in supporting co-operative and community-led decarbonisation in the UK and Canada - Taylor & Francis, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02646811.2025.2540702>
 32. a regeneration plan for preston (2024-35), accessed February 22, 2026,
https://www.investprestoncity.com/media/17817/Preston-35-A-Regeneration-Plan-for-Preston-2024-2035/pdf/PRESTON_35_BROCHURE_updated_Nov_2024.pdf?m=1734599761323
 33. New group takes first step towards community wealth building > York and North Yorkshire Combined Authority, accessed February 22, 2026,
<https://yorknorthyorks-ca.gov.uk/community-wealth-building/>
 34. The Irish Citizens' Assembly - Observatory of Public Sector Innovation, accessed February 22, 2026, <https://oecd-opsi.org/innovations/the-irish-citizens-assembly/>
 35. The Irish abortion referendum: How a Citizens' Assembly helped to break years of political deadlock - Electoral Reform Society, accessed February 22, 2026,
<https://electoral-reform.org.uk/the-irish-abortion-referendum-how-a-citizens-assembly-helped-to-break-years-of-political-deadlock/>
 36. Citizens' Assemblies for Referendums and Constitutional Reforms: Is There an "Irish Model" for Deliberative Democracy? - Frontiers, accessed February 22, 2026,
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/political-science/articles/10.3389/fpos.2020.591983/full>
 37. Impacts of Citizens' Assemblies: A Summary of the Latest Research - People Powered, accessed February 22, 2026,
<https://www.peoplepowered.org/news-content/impacts-of-citizens-assemblies-a-summary-of-the-latest-research>
 38. Proportional representation: Boosting voter turnout and strengthening democracy, accessed February 22, 2026,
<https://protectdemocracy.org/work/proportional-representation-voter-turnout/>
 39. Is voter turnout higher in countries with proportional representation? - Fair Vote

Canada, accessed February 22, 2026,
<https://www.fairvote.ca/factcheckvoteturnout/>